

ZARISKI F-DECOMPOSITION AND LAGRANGIAN FIBRATION ON HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS

DE-QI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. For a compact HyperKähler manifold X , we show certain Zariski decomposition for every pseudo-effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor, and give a sufficient condition for X to be bimeromorphic to a Lagrangian fibration.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a compact HyperKähler manifold X of dimension $2n \geq 4$, we refer to [8, pages 171, 176, 182-184, 223-224], for: the definitions of the Kähler cone $K(X)$ and its closure $\bar{K}(X)$ (the nef cone), the positive cone $C(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and its closure $\bar{C}(X)$ (a closed cone), the birational Kähler cone $BK(X) \subset C(X)$ and its (convex cone) closure $\overline{BK}(X) \subseteq \bar{C}(X)$, the (holomorphic) Lagrangian fibration, the Beauville-Bogomolov primitive quadratic form $q(*)$ or $q_X(*)$ (and the corresponding bilinear form $q(*, **)$) on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of signature $(3, b_2(X) - 3)$, and the Beauville-Fujiki number $c > 0$ such that $q(L)^n = cL^{2n}$ for all $L \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$.

In this paper, we shall prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 and their consequences, where $H^0(X, \Delta) := H^0(X, \lfloor \Delta \rfloor)$ for an $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor Δ . The part (I) below is given an analytic proof by Boucksom [4, §4], and also follows from Zariski's lemmas [17], in a constructive way, as in Fujita [6] for surfaces (cf. also [12, Ch I, §3.1 ~ 3.7]), as one will see from its proof late. The part (II) shows, under certain condition $(*)$ (cf. also Theorem 1.3 (2)), the existence of the Zariski decomposition in the sense of Fujita [7] (i.e., requiring (II) (i-ii) in Theorem 1.1 (II)) and hence also in the sense of Cutkosky-Kawamata-Moriwaki (i.e., requiring (i), (iii) in Theorem 1.1 (II) and (ii)': N_k is effective).

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact HyperKähler manifold and $D \in \text{PE}(X)$ (the pseudo-effective divisor cone, i.e., the closure of the effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor cone). Then we have:*

- (I) *We have the following Zariski q-decomposition $D = P_D + N_D$ such that*
 - (i) *the divisor $P_D \in \overline{BK}(X)$;*
 - (ii) *the divisor $N_D \geq 0$; either $N_D = 0$, or for $\text{Supp } N_D = \cup N_i$, the Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic matrix $(q(N_i, N_j))_{i,j}$ is negative definite; and*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J40, 32Q15, 14E30, 53C26.

Key words and phrases. HyperKähler manifold, Zariski decomposition, Lagrangian fibration.

The author is supported by an ARF of NUS.

(iii) $q(P_D, N_i) = 0$ for all i .

The above Zariski q -decomposition is unique. Moreover, if $D \geq 0$ then $P_D \geq 0$; if D is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor then so are P_D and N_D . Finally, for all integers $s \geq 1$, we have the natural isomorphism: $H^0(X, sP_D) \cong H^0(X, sD)$.

- (II) Suppose that (*) there is a bimeromorphic map $\sigma_1 : X_1 \cdots \rightarrow X$ from a compact HyperKähler manifold X_1 such that $\sigma_1^*P_D$ is nef (i.e., $\sigma_1^*P_D \in \bar{K}(X_1)$). Then there is a bimeromorphic morphism $\sigma_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X$ such that for each $k \in \{1, 2\}$ there is a Zariski-Fujita decomposition (or Zariski F-decomposition for short) $D_k = P_k + N_k$ for $D_k := \sigma_k^*D$, in the sense of Fujita [7] and hence also in the sense of Cutkosky-Kawamata-Moriwaki (cf. [9, Definitions 7-3-2, 7-3-5]). Thus,
- (i) the divisor P_k is nef, i.e., $P_k \in \bar{K}(X)$, the closure of the Kähler cone $K(X)$;
 - (ii) the divisor N_k is effective; $F \geq \tau^*N_k$ for every bimeromorphic morphism $\tau : X' \rightarrow X_k$ and every $F \geq 0$ with $\tau^*D_k - F$ nef; and
 - (iii) the natural isomorphism: $H^0(X_k, sP_k) \cong H^0(X_k, sD_k)$, for all integers $s \geq 1$.
- The above Zariski F-decomposition is unique. (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). Moreover, if $D \geq 0$ then $P_k \geq 0$; if D is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor then so are P_k and N_k .

Corollary 1.2. *With the notation in Theorem 1.1 (I), the Iitaka D -dimension $\kappa(X, D) = \dim X$ (maximal case) holds if and only if $q(P_D) > 0$.*

The condition (*) in Theorem 1.1 above is replaced, in Theorem 1.3 below, with the existence of minimal models (cf. [10, Definition 3.50] and [3, Theorem 1.2]).

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a projective HyperKähler manifold of dimension $2n \geq 4$ and D an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. Assume the existence of minimal models for terminal pairs in dimension $2n$ when $\kappa(X, D) < \dim X$. Then we have:*

- (1) *There is a birational morphism $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ such that σ^*D has a Zariski-Fujita decomposition.*
- (2) *Suppose further that $0 \neq D \in \overline{BK}(X)$ and $q(D) = 0$. Then there is an isomorphic-in-codimension-one birational map $\gamma : X_1 \cdots \rightarrow X$ such that γ^*D is nef, X_1 has only $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and $K_{X_1} \sim 0$.*

For a nef line bundle $0 \neq L$ on a compact HyperKähler manifold X with $q(L) = 0$, the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow HyperKähler conjecture says that $\Phi_{|sL|}$ gives rise to a (holomorphic) Lagrangian fibration for some $s \geq 1$. Some partial solutions were obtained by Fu, Hassett-Tschinkel, Matsushita and Sawon when X is a Hilbert scheme of certain K3 surface (cf. [15] for the references therein) and by Campana-Oguiso-Peternell [5] for certain non-algebraic X . The two results below are related to the above conjecture.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that $0 \neq L$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor (resp. $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor) on a compact HyperKähler manifold X such that $L \in \overline{BK}(X)$, and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[L]$ is not an extremal ray in the pseudo-effective divisor (closed) cone $\text{PE}(X)$ of X . Then $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} M$ (resp. $L \equiv eM$ with $e \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$) for an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor M .*

Question 1.5. (cf. 1.3 for a partial answer) *Let X be a compact HyperKähler manifold and $L \in \overline{BK}(X)$ a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor with $q(L) = 0$. Is there a bimeromorphic map $\gamma : X_1 \cdots \rightarrow X$ from a compact HyperKähler manifold X_1 such that γ^*L is nef (i.e., $\gamma^*L \in \bar{K}(X_1)$)?*

Proposition 1.6. *Let X be a compact HyperKähler manifold of dimension $2n \geq 4$. Then the following are equivalent, assuming a positive answer to Question 1.5 for ‘(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1)’.*

- (1) *X is bimeromorphic to a compact HyperKähler manifold X' with a (holomorphic) Lagrangian fibration $X' \rightarrow B'$.*
- (2) *There is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor L with Iitaka D -dimension $n \leq \kappa(X, L) < 2n$.*
- (3) *There is a dominant meromorphic map $g : X \dashrightarrow B$ with general fibre of non-general type and $n \leq \dim B < 2n$.*

Remark 1.7. By the proof, the Zariski-Fujita decomposition in Theorem 1.1 (II), for D_1 on X_1 coincides with the Zariski q -decomposition in Theorem 1.1 (I) for D_1 .

The N_D in Theorem 1.1 (I) is the smallest effective divisor such that $D - N_D \in \overline{BK}(X)$: if $N' \geq 0$ such that $P' := D - N' \in \overline{BK}(X)$, then $N' \geq N_D$. Indeed, $q(N' - N_D, N_i) = q(P_D - P', N_i) = -q(P', N_i) \leq 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) for every $N_i \leq N_D$ and hence $N' - N_D \geq 0$ by Zariski’s lemma as in the proof of [12, Ch I, 3.2].

By the above reasoning and Lemma 2.1(2), the Zariski q -decomposition in Theorem 1.1(I) coincides with both the σ - and ν -decomposition in Nakayama [14, ChIII, 1.16, 3.2].

Acknowledgement. I thank N. Nakayama for the constructive suggestions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS AND THEIR CONSEQUENCES

We will use the conventions in [10] and Hartshorne’s book. Let X be a compact HyperKähler manifold of dimension $2n \geq 4$ with a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form σ which is normalized so that $\int_X (\sigma \bar{\sigma})^n = 1$. Then the primitive Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form $q(*)$ on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is given (with a positive constant a) as $q(L) = a \int_X L^2 (\sigma \bar{\sigma})^{n-1}$, for every $L \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a compact HyperKähler manifold with $q(*)$ the primitive Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form (and $q(*, **)$ its bilinear form) on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have:*

- (1) *The birational Kähler cone $\overline{BK}(X)$ is the intersection of $\bar{C}(X)$ and the dual of the pseudo-effective divisor (closed) cone $\text{PE}(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to $q(*, *)$. If $D \in \text{PE}(X)$ and $q(D, E) \geq 0$ for every prime divisor E , then $D \in \overline{BK}(X)$.*

- (2) If D_1, D_2 are distinct prime divisors, then $q(D_1, D_2) \geq 0$. Similarly, $q(D_1, D_3) \geq 0$ when $D_3|D_1$ is a pseudo-effective divisor on D_1 .
- (3) Suppose that E_i are prime divisors with negative definite matrix $(q(E_i, E_j))_{i,j}$. Then E_i are linearly independent in the Neron-Severi group $\text{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) := \text{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and the Iitaka D -dimension $\kappa(X, \sum E_i) = 0$. If $D \in \text{PE}(X)$ and $E = \sum e_i E_i$ such that $q(D - E, E_j) \leq 0$ for all j , then $D - E \in \text{PE}(X)$.
- (4) $L \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ belongs to $C(X)$ if and only if $q(L) > 0$ and $q(L, \omega) > 0$ for some Kähler class ω .
- (5) If an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $L \in \text{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ satisfies $q(L) > 0$, then X is projective, and L is big, i.e., $L = A + E$ for an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor A and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor E ; further, $|sL| = |M| + F$ for some integer $s \geq 1$, with M big, $|M|$ the movable part, and F the fixed part.
- (6) If $\sigma : X' \cdots \rightarrow X$ is a bimeromorphic map from a compact HyperKähler manifold X' , then it is isomorphic in codimension one. Hence σ^* is well defined on $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ and compatible with the Hodge structure, the Beauville Bogomolov quadratic form $q(*)$ and the birational Kähler cone.
- (7) If $0 \neq L \in \bar{C}(X)$ and $D \in \text{PE}(X)$ satisfy $q(L, D) = 0$, then either D and L are parallel in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $q(D) = 0$, or $q(D) < 0$.
- (8) If $L_1 \equiv L_2$ (numerical equivalence) for two $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors L_i , then $L_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L_2$.

Proof. For (1), see [8, Proposition 28.7]. Note that $q(D) \geq 0$ for the second part.

For (2), $q(D_1, D_2) = a \int_{D_1 \cap D_2} (\sigma \bar{\sigma} |D_1 \cap D_2|)^{n-1} \geq 0$, since $\sigma \bar{\sigma} |D_1 \cap D_2|$ is weakly positive.

For the first part of (3), suppose $E' := \sum a_i E_i \equiv \sum b_j E_j =: E''$ (numerical equivalence) for some $a_i \geq 0$, $b_j \geq 0$ and $E_i \neq E_j$. Then $0 \geq q(E') = q(E', E'') \geq 0$ by (2), and hence $E' = 0 = E''$ by the negative-definite assumption. For the second part, write $D = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t)$ and $D(t) = \sum d(t)_i E_i + D(t)'$ with $d(t)_i \geq 0$, where $D(t)'$ is an effective divisor and contains no any E_i . Since $D(t)$ has a limit and intersecting with a power of a Kähler class, we see that $d(t)_i$ are bounded and we let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(t)_i = d_i \geq 0$. Then

$$0 \geq q(D - E, E_j) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(D(t) - E, E_j) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(\sum (d(t)_i - e_i) E_i, E_j) = q(\sum (d_i - e_i) E_i, E_j).$$

Hence $\sum (d_i - e_i) E_i \geq 0$ by Zariski's lemma as in [12, 3.2]. Thus $D - E = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (D(t) - E) = \sum (d_i - e_i) E_i + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t)' \in \text{PE}(X)$.

(4) is due to the very definition of the positive cone $C(X)$ (containing the Kähler cone $K(X)$) in [8, Definition 23.12].

The first part of (5) follows from [8, Proposition 26.13] and the claim in its proof, while the second part follows from the first part.

(6) is proved in [8, Propositions 21.6 and 25.14] (cf. (1)).

For (7), see [2, Ch IV, (7.2)] and note: $q(*)$ has signature $(1, b_2(X) - 3)$ on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. (8) is true because the irregularity $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. $\square$

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Part (I) is proved in [4, §4], but the intersection-form based arguments in Zariski [17] and Fujita [6] (cf. also Miyanishi [12, Ch I, 3.1 ~ 3.7]) work well for (I) with almost no change, though we use $q(*)$ and Lemma 2.1 instead of the intersection form for surface. Nef divisors on a surface correspond to elements in the birational Kähler cone $\overline{BK}(X)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (1)). The only non-intersection based usage of the Riemann-Roch theorem in [12, 3.5] may be replaced by Lemma 2.1 (5) (3).

The sketch of a constructive proof for (I): Let E_i ($1 \leq i \leq t_1$) be all prime divisors such that $q(D, E_i) < 0$. Then $(q(E_i, E_j))_{i,j}$ is a negative definite matrix [ibid. proof of 3.6]. Let F_1 be a (non-negative, by Zariski's lemma) combination of E_i such that $D_1 := D - F_1$ satisfies $q(D_1, E_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq t_1$). Then D_1 is pseudo-effective (and effective when D is effective); cf. Lemma 2.1 (3) and [ibid. 3.3]. Let E_j ($t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq t_1 + t_2$) be all prime divisors such that $q(D_1, E_j) < 0$. Then $(q(E_i, E_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq t_1 + t_2}$ is negative definite; cf. [ibid. 3.5] and Lemma 2.1 (5). Let F_2 be a (non-negative) combination of E_k ($1 \leq k \leq t_1 + t_2$) such that $D_2 := D_1 - F_2$ satisfies $q(D_2, E_k) = 0$. Then D_2 is pseudo-effective (and effective when D is effective); cf. Lemma 2.1 (3) and [ibid. 3.3]. Since X has finite Picard number and by Lemma 2.1 (3), this process will terminate at step r , and $P_D := D_r$ and $N_P := \sum_{i=1}^r F_i$ satisfy Theorem 1.1(I); cf. [ibid. 3.7] and Lemma 2.1(1).

Next we prove Theorem 1.1 (II). Let $D = P_D + N_D$ be as in (I). Set $D_1 := \sigma_1^* D$, $P_1 := \sigma_1^* P_D$, $N_1 = \sigma_1^* N_D$. Then $D_1 = P_1 + N_1$ is the Zariski q-decomposition for D_1 , by the uniqueness in (I) and since σ_1^* is compatible with $q(*)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1). Let $\pi : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be a blowup such that the composite $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \circ \pi : X_2 \rightarrow X$ is holomorphic. Set

$$D_2 := \sigma_2^* D, \quad P_2 := \pi^* P_1, \quad N_2 := D_2 - P_2.$$

Note that P_2 is nef and $N_2 = \sigma_2^* N_D + E$ for some σ_2 - (and hence π -) exceptional divisor E , since σ_1 is isomorphic in codimension one (cf. Lemma 2.1).

We claim that $E \geq 0$ and hence $N_2 \geq 0$. Indeed, $E = \sigma_2^* D - \pi^* P_1 - \sigma_2^* N_D$ and $-E$ is σ_2 -nef. Further, noting that $\sigma_{2*} = \sigma_{1*} \circ \pi_*$ on $H^2(X_2, \mathbb{C})$ and by the projection formula, we have $\sigma_{2*} E = D - \sigma_{1*} \sigma_1^* P_D - N_D = 0$. Hence $E \geq 0$ by [10, Lemma 3.39].

Now we shall show that $D_k = P_k + N_k$ ($k = 1, 2$) is the Zariski F-decomposition as in (II). The condition (II-i) is of course true. For a direct proof of the condition (II-iii), by the projection formula (for the first and last equalities below), since σ_1 is isomorphic in codimension one, and applying (I) to D_1 , for every integer $s \geq 1$, we have:

$$H^0(X_2, sD_2) = H^0(X, sD) = H^0(X_1, sD_1) = H^0(X_1, sP_1) = H^0(X_2, sP_2).$$

To show (II-ii), we consider D_2 only (because D_1 is similar and easier), and replacing π by a further blowup, we have only to show the assertion (**): if $P' := D_2 - F$ is nef for an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor F then $F \geq N_2$. Note that $\sigma_{2*}P' \in \overline{BK}(X)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (1)(2)). So $\sigma_{2*}F \geq N_D$ by applying Remark 1.7 to $\sigma_{2*}(P') = \sigma_{2*}(D_2 - F) = D - \sigma_{2*}F$. Now $-(F - N_2) = P' - \pi^*P_1$ is π -nef, and $\sigma_{1*}\pi_*(F - N_2) = \sigma_{2*}(F - \sigma_2^*N_D - E) = \sigma_{2*}F - N_D \geq 0$, so $\pi_*(F - N_2) \geq 0$. Hence $F - N_2 \geq 0$ by [ibid.]. This proves (**) and also (II-ii).

The uniqueness of the Zariski F-decomposition is due to the condition (II-ii). The rest of (II) is from the construction and (I). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.3. Proof of Corollary 1.2

By Theorem 1.1 (I), $D = P_D + N_D$ and $\kappa(X, D) = \kappa(X, P_D)$. If $q(P_D) > 0$ then P_D is big (cf. Lemma 2.1) and hence $\kappa(X, P_D) = \dim X$. Conversely, suppose that $\kappa(X, D) = \dim X$. Then X is Moishezon and Kähler and hence projective, and P_D (and also D) are big. So $P_D = A + E$ for an ample $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor A and an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor E . By Lemma 2.1, $q(P_D) \geq q(P_D, A) \geq q(A, A) > 0$. This proves Corollary 1.2.

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Write $D = P + N$ with $P := P_D \geq 0$, $N := N_D \geq 0$ as in Theorem 1.1 (I). Replacing D by a small multiple, we may assume that (X, P) is terminal; cf. [10, Cor 2.35]. By the assumption and [3, Theorem 1.2], there is a surjective-in-codimension-one birational map $\sigma_1 : X \dashrightarrow X_1$ such that (X_1, P_1) (with $P_1 := \sigma_{1*}P$) is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and terminal, $K_{X_1} + P_1$ is nef, and for every common resolution $\sigma_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X$ and $\pi : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ we have $\sigma_2^*(K_X + P) - \pi^*(K_{X_1} + P_1) = E_2$ for some effective π -exceptional divisor E_2 and (**): $\text{Supp } \sigma_{2*}E_2$ contains every σ_1 -exceptional divisor. Set $D_2 := \sigma_2^*D$, $P_2 := \pi^*P_1$, $N_2 := E_2 + \sigma_2^*N$. Using the fact that $K_X = 0$ and $K_{X_1} = 0$, we have $\sigma_2^*P = P_2 + E_2$, $D_2 = P_2 + N_2$, and P_1 (and hence P_2) are nef. As in Theorem 1.1, for every integer $s \geq 1$, we have

$$H^0(X_2, sD_2) = H^0(X, sD) = H^0(X, sP) = H^0(X_2, s(\pi^*P_1 + E_2)) = H^0(X_2, sP_2).$$

Thus if D is big then D_2 is big, and $D_2 = P_2 + N_2$ is the sectional-decomposition for the big divisor D_2 with P_2 nef and is also the Zariski F-decomposition (cf. [14, Ch III, 1.17]).

Suppose $0 \leq \kappa(X, D) < \dim X$, i.e., $q(P) = 0$ (cf. Corollary 1.7). Note that $P = \sigma_{2*}P_2 + \sigma_{2*}E_2$. By Lemma 2.1, $\sigma_{2*}P_2 \in \overline{BK}(X)$, and $0 = q(P) \geq q(P, \sigma_{2*}P_2) \geq q(\sigma_{2*}P_2) \geq 0$; so all become equalities, and $\sigma_{2*}P_2$ (and hence $\sigma_{2*}E_2$) are parallel to P . Thus $\sigma_{2*}E_2 \equiv aP$ for some $a \geq 0$. Since $(\sigma_2^*aP \equiv) \sigma_2^*\sigma_{2*}E_2$ is π -exceptional, the σ -decomposition of it (and of its σ_{2*} -pushforward aP) has zero positive part, so $aP = 0$ (cf. Remark 1.7, [14, Ch III, 5.14, 5.16]). Thus $\sigma_{2*}E_2 = 0$, so, by the (**) above, σ_1 contracts no divisors. Hence σ_1 is isomorphic in codimension one. Now the argument of Theorem 1.1 (II) for $\gamma = \sigma_1^{-1} : X_1 \dashrightarrow X$ implies Theorem 1.3 and: $\gamma^*D = \gamma^*P + \gamma^*N$ is the Zariski F-decomposition.

2.5. Proof of Corollary 1.4

If $q(L) > 0$, then L is big (cf. Lemma 2.1) and Corollary 1.4 is true. We may assume that $q(L) = 0$. By the assumption, $L \equiv D + G$ (numerical equivalence) for pseudo effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors D and G , not parallel to L . Applying Theorem 1.1 (I), $D = P_D + N_D$, $G = P_G + N_G$, $L \equiv (P_D + P_G) + (N_D + N_G)$. By Lemma 2.1, $0 = q(L) \geq q(L, P_D + P_G) = q(L, P_D) + q(L, P_G) \geq 0 + 0$, so all become equalities. Now Lemma 2.1 (7) implies that $P_D \equiv bL$ and $P_G \equiv gL$ for some $b, g \geq 0$. Hence $(1 - b - g)L \equiv N_D + N_G \geq 0$ and $1 \geq b + g$. If $1 = b + g$, then $N_D = 0 = N_G$, and D and G are parallel to L , a contradiction. Thus $b + g < 1$, and $L \equiv \sum a_i N_i$ for some $a_i \geq 0$ and irreducible components N_i of $N_D + N_G$. Since the combination L of N_i satisfies $q(L) = 0$, the matrix $(q(N_i, N_j))_{i,j}$ is not negative definite. Hence some nontrivial integral combination $N' := \sum b_i N_i - \sum c_j N_j$ (with $N_i \neq N_j$; $b_i, c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) satisfies $0 \leq q(N') \leq q(\sum b_i N_i) + q(\sum c_j N_j)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (2)). Thus we may assume that $N' > 0$ and $q(N') \geq 0$. Since $0 = q(L) \geq q(L, N_D + N_G) \geq 0$, all become equalities. In particular, $q(L, N') = 0$. Therefore, L is parallel to N' and Corollary 1.4 follows (cf. Lemma 2.1 (7)(8)).

2.6. Proof of Proposition 1.6

For (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), note that B' is Moishezon (cf. [5, Theorem 2.3]) and we let L be the pullback to X of a big divisor on B' . Then $\kappa(X, L) = n$.

For (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3), by Theorem 1.1 (I), we may write $L = P_L + N_L$ so that $\kappa(X, L) = \kappa(X, L_P)$ with $L_P \in \overline{BK}(X)$ a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Replacing L by L_P , we may assume that $L \in \overline{BK}(X)$. Since $\kappa(X, L) < \dim X$, we have $q(L) = 0$ by Corollary 1.2. Let $g : X \dashrightarrow B$ be the Iitaka fibration of the line bundle L so that $\dim B = \kappa(X, L)$. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a blowup such that the composite $f = g \circ \pi : Y \rightarrow B$ is holomorphic and B is projective (cf. [ibid.]). Then $\pi^*L = f^*H + E$ with H an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on B and E an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Thus $L \geq L' := \pi_* f^*H$. So $0 = q(L) \geq q(L, L') \geq q(L') \geq 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1), and all become equalities. By [1, Proposition 3.1 (2)], the general fibre of f (i.e., of g) is of non-general type. This proves (3).

For (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), take blowups of B and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that the composite $f = g \circ \pi : Y \rightarrow B$ is holomorphic and B is projective (cf. [ibid.]). Take an ample line bundle H on B . Then $L := \pi_* f^*H \in \overline{BK}(X)$. By the proof of [1, Proposition 3.1 (1)], we have $q(L) = 0$. Hence $\kappa(X, L) < 2n$ by Corollary 1.2. By the assumption, there is a bimeromorphic map $\sigma : X' \dashrightarrow X$ from a compact HyperKähler manifold X' such that σ^*L is nef. Replacing Y by a further blowup, we may assume that there is a bimeromorphic morphism $\pi' : Y \rightarrow X'$ such that $\sigma \circ \pi' = \pi$. Since σ is isomorphic in codimension one, we have $L = \pi_* f^*H = \sigma_* \pi'_* f^*H$, so the nef divisor $L' := \sigma^*L$ equals $\pi'_* f^*H$. Replacing (X, L, π, g) by $(X', L', \pi', g \circ \sigma)$, we may assume that L is already nef.

We may write $\pi^*L = f^*H + E$ with E an effective π -exceptional $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Then $\kappa(X, L) \geq \kappa(B, H) = \dim B \geq n$ by the assumption in (3). By [16] (cf. [8, Proposition 24.1]) and since $q(L) = 0$, we have $L^n \neq 0$ and $L^{n+1} = 0$. So the numerical ν -dimension $\nu(L)$ equals n . It is known that $\nu(L) \geq \kappa(X, L) \geq n$. Thus $\nu(L) = \kappa(L) = n$. Hence L is abundant, so L is semi-ample (cf. [13, Theorem 5.5, Remark 2.11.2]). Thus, $\Phi_{|sL|} : X \rightarrow B''$ is a Lagrangian fibration (cf. [11] or [8, Proposition 24.8]).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Amerik and F. Campana, Fibrations méromorphes sur certaines variétés à fibré canonique triviale, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **4** (2008), no. 2, part 1, 509–545.
- [2] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Springer 2004.
- [3] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon and J. McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, preprint 2006 (math.AG/0610203).
- [4] S. Boucksom, Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds, *Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup.* (4) **37** (2004), no. 1, 45–76.
- [5] F. Campana, K. Oguiso and T. Peternell, Non-algebraic Hyperkaehler manifolds, arXiv:**0804.1682**.
- [6] T. Fujita, On Zariski problem, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **55** (1979), no. 3, 106–110.
- [7] T. Fujita, Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **38** (1986), no. 1, 19–37.
- [8] M. Gross, D. Huybrechts and D. Joyce, *Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*, Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [9] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985* (T. Oda ed.), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10**, 1987, pp. 283–360.
- [10] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., **134**.
- [11] D. Matsushita, On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold, *Topology* **38** (1999), no. 1, 79–83; Addendum, *Topology* **40** (2001), 431–432.
- [12] M. Miyanishi, Noncomplete algebraic surfaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **857**, Springer, 1981.
- [13] N. Nakayama, The lower semicontinuity of the plurigena of complex varieties, *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, 551–590, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [14] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs Vol. **14**, Math. Soc. Japan, 2004.
- [15] J. Sawon, Lagrangian fibrations on Hilbert schemes of points on $K3$ surfaces, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **16** (2007), no. 3, 477–497.
- [16] M. Verbitsky, Cohomology of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds and its applications, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), no. 4, 601–611.
- [17] O. Zariski, The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **76** (1962) 560–615.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 2 SCIENCE DRIVE 2, SINGAPORE 117543

E-mail address: matzdzq@nus.edu.sg